United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/616,315	07/09/2003	Richard Abinal	P10-1302 US	1878
	7590 04/20/2007 DRTH AMERICA, INC	EXAMINER		
	AL PROPERTY DEPA	MCDONALD, SHANTESE L		
MARC BLDG 31-2 515 MICHELIN ROAD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
GREENVILLE,		3723		
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MOR		04000007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/616,315	07/09/2003	Richard Abinal	P10-1302 US 1878		
75	90 11/23/2004		EXAMINER		
Alan A. Csontos			SMITH, JAMES G		
Michelin North America, Inc. Intellectual Property Department			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 2026			3723		
Greenville, SC 29602			DATE MAILED: 11/23/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Asking Comments	10/616,315	ABINAL, RICHARD					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	James G. Smith	3723					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status	•						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 8/3 &	. 10/ <u>8/04</u> .	•					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊡ This							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	ix parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	,						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		• •					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	1						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	- h h	•					
The second of the pricing described have been received.							
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
the distance detailed entire ability to the certified copies flot received.							
•							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/616,315 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-14 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims all contain reference numerals that are not in parentheses and therefore the reference numerals must be read as part of the structural elements for a proper understanding of the claim.

There is no antecedent basis for "the diameter of a circle on which the axially inner end" in claim 1.

It is also unclear as to what "a slider" is as there is no description or showing of such a structure (claim 6).

In claim 10, it is unclear how the stop roller 44 can be said to be "disposed in the direction B" as it is coaxial with the axis A.

Further, in claim 11 the axis of rotation of the roller 4 is claimed as "parallel to said axis A", however as stated above it is coaxial.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/616,315

Art Unit: 3723

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 4. Claims 5, 7 and 9 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by any of Schultz et. al., Jacobson, Duquesne, Gaither, Ewald, Tran (134) r Tran (661).
- 5. Claim 8 is finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Schultz et. al...

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 03 August and 09 October 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The use of reference characters without parentheses renders the claims ambiguous as to what is a limitation and what is not, thus the rejection is repeated.

Also applicant's remarks with respect to the undefined structure that makes up "a slider" is not persuasive as the term has no specific meaning in the art and thus anything that "slides" is a slider.

With respect to the paragraph (0015), it is this statement that is unclear in its definition of where the roller (44) is located. Applicant states that claim 10 refers to two embodiments, e.g. figures 2 and 3, however both embodiments show the roller (44) coaxial with the axis (A). Therefore to state and claim a parallel relationship between the roller and axis (A) is not supported by the original drawings and therefore the limitation in both claims is indefinite.

With respect to the rejection of claims 5-8 under section 102, applicant argues that the new limitation to claim 5, the method of using the tool, now defines over the prior art. This is in error as a new use of a tool can only be claimed as a method of

use, thus the added limitation adds nothing to the structure already claimed and rejected.

Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James G. Smith whose telephone number is 571-272-4496. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (7:05- 4:35) Fri. off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J. Hail, III can be reached on 571-272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James G. Smith Primary Examiner Art Unit 3723

jgs 11/22/04